Question	Mean	Count	1	2	3	4	5
1. How valuable were the assigned readings? 1=taught me little; 5=extremely educational		0	0	0	0	0	0
2. How valuable were the homework and/or computer assignments?1=taught me little; 5=extremely educational	4.00	2	0	0	0	2	0
3. How valuable were the laboratories? 1=taught me little; 5=extremely educational		0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Rate the examinations in this course as a test of your knowledge. 1=too easy, not adequate; 3=adequate; 5=too difficult, not a fair test	3.50	2	0	0	1	1	0
 Did the lecturer stimulate your interest in the subject? 1=not at all; 5=stimulated great interest, inspired independent effort 	3.50	2	0	0	1	1	0
6. Was the lecture presentation organized and clear? 1=disorganized and unclear; 5=very organized and lucid	3.50	2	0	0	1	1	0
7. Was the lecturer willing and able to help you overcome difficulties? 1=was of no help; 5=was very helpful	4.00	2	0	0	0	2	0
8. Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 1=worse than average; 5=much better than average	4.00	2	0	0	0	2	0
9. Was the recitation organized and clear? 1=not at all; 5=very organized, lucid		0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Was the recitation instructor willing and available to help you overcome difficulties? 1=was of no help; 5=was very helpful	5.00	1	0	0	0	0	1
11. How would you rate the recitation instructor's command of the course material? 1=poor command of material; 5=excellent command of material	5.00	1	0	0	0	0	1
12. What was the overall quality of the recitations and your recitation instructor? 1=worse than average; 5=much better than average		0	0	0	0	0	0
13. Overall, how does course compare with other technical courses you've taken at Cornell? 1=poorly, not educational; 5=excellently, extremely educational	4.00	1	0	0	0	1	0
14. How many hours each week did you spend on this course outside of class/lab/recitation? 1=less than 2; 2=(2-4); 3=(5-8); 4=(9-15); 5=16 or more	4.00	2	0	0	0	2	0
15. How prepared were you for this course? 1=overprepared, it repeated material; 5=underprepared, course assumed unfamiliar knowledge	3.00	2	0	0	2	0	0
16. Was the code of academic integrity maintained in this course? 1=no, often violated; 5=yes, well maintained	5.00	2	0	0	0	0	2
17. Most important reason for taking this course? 1=field or major requires it; 2=prerequisite for further courses of interest; 3=interest in subject matter; 4=reputation of the course; 5=reputation of the instructor		2	0	0	2	0	0

The instructor provided these special instructions:

Extra Queston #1:

MAE gathers mid-semester student feedback in an effort to improve course delivery. Please comment on any changes you observed in this course since mid-semester and whether they improved the effectiveness of the course.

1. Please comment on the strengths of any aspect of this course (e.g., the lecture, recitation, laboratory, computing, text, homeworks, examinations or course content).

116181: lecturer tried to keep people involved during lecture

2. Please comment on the weaknesses of any aspect of this course (e.g., the lecture, recitation, laboratory, computing, text, homeworks, examinations or course content).

116181: It was kind of too much work... I just didn't have the time to spend "exploring the space of a problem" Not enough examples, too theoretical sometimes

Extra Question # 1 :

MAE gathers mid-semester student feedback in an effort to improve course delivery. Please comment on any changes you observed in this course since mid-semester and whether they improved the effectiveness of the course.

116181: I didn't notice any